

Corowa LEP 2012 – Amendment 2 – ‘Rivalea’

Part 1 - Objectives or Intended Outcomes

The intended outcomes for the Planning Proposal are to:-

- Rezone rural land to enable future potential large lot residential development (about 610ha) with a minimum lot size of 5 ha near the ‘Rivalea’ feedmill development.
- Rezone rural land to zone IN1 - General Industrial (30ha) to allow the expansion of the ‘Rivalea’ feedmill development – these outcomes were Council’s desired outcomes as submitted by Council at s68 for the Corowa LEP 2012. These lands were omitted from the Corowa LEP 2012 as they were post exhibition changes. This planning proposal will provide justification and will allow agency and community consultation to occur.
- The intention of the ‘buffer’ is to protect ‘Rivalea’ and surrounding development from operation of the feedmill. The proposed ‘buffer’ is based on information provided by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure and Office of Environment and Heritage.
- Amend the Urban Release Area maps to reflect the proposed residential and employment lands.

Justification for the proposal is provided in Attachment A, site assessment and the submission by ‘Rivalea’ in respect to the draft Corowa LEP 2012.

Part 2 - Explanation of Provisions

The Planning Proposal will require amendment to the relevant land zoning, lot size maps, urban release area maps and the map cover sheet. Refer to the maps that accompany this Planning Proposal both the ‘Current’ and ‘Proposed’ maps. The draft maps provided comply with the Technical Guidelines.

The following model ‘buffer’ clause is also proposed to be inserted as clause 7.11 as follows:-

7.11 Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area

- (1) The objective of this clause is to protect the operational environment of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility and prevent inappropriate development in close proximity to the Rivalea Feedmill Facility to avoid land use conflicts.
- (2) This clause applies to development on land within the “Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area” shown on the Clause Application Map.
- (3) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority has considered the following:
 - (a) The likely adverse impacts that noise and other emissions from the existing and continued operations of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility on the proposed development,
 - (b) The likely adverse impact of the development on the existing and continued operations of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility,
 - (c) Any proposed measures incorporated into the proposed development that limit the impacts of noise and other emissions associated with the Rivalea Feedmill Facility,

- (d) Whether the development is sited to maximise the distance between the development and the Rivalea Feedmill Facility to minimise the potential land use conflict.
- (4) In this clause:
Clause Application Map means the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2011 Clause Application Map.

Part 3 - Justification

Section A - Need for the planning proposal

1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

The planning Proposal is a result of a submission by 'Rivalea', site assessment report and post exhibition change proposed as part of the s68 submission for the Corowa LEP 2012. The proposed change was omitted from the notified LEP.

The subject site is identified in the Corowa Land Use Strategy documentation (Strategy) dated July 2011 comprising Local Profile and Issues Paper, 2011–2031 Strategic Land Use Plan and Site Assessment Report.

The Strategy was approved by the Director-General on 25 June 2012, but it was conditional on the following:

"Prior to land use change further assessment be undertaken in relation to the 'Rivalea' development site and proposed nearby future rural living area north of Corowa to minimise potential land use conflicts".

This Planning Proposal provides information in support of the proposed rezoning. The Corowa Strategic Land Use Plan identifies that Rivalea is the major industry in Corowa and states that "buffers are requires to existing industrial activity".

2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

The planning proposal is the only means to achieve the proposed outcomes as the identified land requires rezoning and lot size change to achieve the objectives.

The inclusion of the proposed 'buffer' clause requires an amendment to the Corowa LEP 2012.

3. Is there a net community benefit?

While not quantified there will be net community benefit realised for the identified land to allow future residential and industrial development with environmental impacts that will need to be managed.

Section B - Relationship to strategic planning framework.

4. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable **regional or sub-regional strategy** (including the Sydney Metropolitan Strategy and exhibited draft strategies)?

There is no regional or sub regional strategy applicable to the subject land. The draft Murray Regional Strategy is being prepared and the proposal is not inconsistent with this as it relates to relatively minor changes to local planning near urban areas.

5. Is the planning proposal consistent with the local council's **Community Strategic Plan**, or other **local strategic plan**?

The proposal is not inconsistent with the approved Corowa Land Use Strategy.

6. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable state **environmental planning policies**?
Yes – refer to assessment in **Attachment B**.

7. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable **Ministerial Directions** (s.117 directions)?
Yes refer to assessment in **Attachment C**.

Section C - Environmental, social and economic impact.

8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

No- the land is generally clear and free from constraints and suitable for the proposed development and adjacent to urban areas. Consultation will occur with agencies to ascertain if there are any significant species, communities or habitats affected by the Planning Proposal.

9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

No- the land is generally clear and free from constraints and suitable for the proposed development. There are no known environmental effects. There is potential land use conflict between the proposed large lot residential land and the potential continued development of the 'Rivalea' feedmill. These impacts would include, but not be limited to noise, odour, dust, hours of operation and traffic. However, it is considered that the provision of the buffer one around the Rivalea site will negate any adverse environmental impacts. The sites are above the 1% flood level and excluding the Crown land portion, are outside the bushfire prone land. Consultation will occur with agencies to ascertain if there are any significant constraints.

10. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

In terms of social and environmental impacts the land is generally clear and free from constraints and suitable for the proposed development. There are no known European or aboriginal heritage located on the subject lands. Consultation will occur with agencies to ascertain if there are any significant constraints.

The proposal will provide residential and employment development opportunities on land suitable for such use which will have social and economic benefits. The larger lots of 5 ha and the proposed buffer will provide a separation between dwellings and the feedmill.

Section D - State and Commonwealth interests.

11. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

The identified land can be adequately serviced by local services to cater for the proposed development.

12. What are the views of State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the gateway determination, and have they resulted in any variations to the planning proposal?

No consultation has occurred at this stage. Consultation with the state government agencies will be undertaken post gateway determination.

It is proposed to consult with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage, Office of Water, Roads and Maritime Services, Primary Industries – Agriculture and Minerals and NSW Environment Protection Authority.

There is no Commonwealth land involved and there is no known reason to consult with Commonwealth agencies in this case.

Section E – Reclassification of Public Land. There is no public land identified and therefore this section is not applicable.

Part 4 - Community Consultation

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is routine and it is proposed to consult with the community for 28 days and complete the proposal in 12 months.

Part 5 – Risks to the Planning Proposal.

Possible risks are that there are matters identified agencies (for example threatened species) that may result in further work being undertaken and time to resolve these issues. The proposal is such that the land is adjacent to urban areas, can be serviced and not expected to be developed at the one time thus not resulting in an oversupply of land.

Part 6 – Benchmark Timeframes for making the Plan.

Note: You cannot delete or alter any of the following statements except were directed to select an option.

1. The plan will be made within 12 months of the Gateway Determination date.
2. The Planning Proposal will be exhibited within 4 weeks of the Gateway Determination date.
3. Community Consultation will be completed 28 days from the last day the Planning Proposal must be exhibited
4. Public Authority Consultation will be completed within 35 days of the Gateway Determination date.
5. The RPA will request the Department to draft and finalise the LEP no later than 6 weeks prior to the projected making of the plan date specified in point 1.

Request for Initial Gateway Determination

Instructions to Users

When forwarding a planning proposal to the Minister under section 56(1), the relevant planning authority must provide the information specified on this form. This form and the required information should be sent to your local Regional Office. Please note one (1) electronic copy and two (2) hard copies of the completed Planning Proposal must be sent to your local Regional Office.

Relevant Planning Authority Details

Name of Relevant Planning Authority: Corowa Shire Council

Contact Person: Bob Parr

Contact Phone Number: (02) 60338999

Contact Email Address: bob.parr@corowa.nsw.gov.au

Planning Proposal Details

1. LAND INVOLVED:

Land the subject of this Planning Proposal is known as 'Rivalea' and includes the following:

- Approximately 610 ha of land north of the 'Rivalea' feedmill site proposed to be rezoned from zone RU1 – Primary Production to zone R5 – large lot residential.
- Approximately 30 ha of land owned by 'Rivalea' west of and adjoining the current site is proposed to be rezoned from zone RU1 – Primary Production to zone IN1 General Industrial.
- The inclusion of a 'buffer' clause and map to protect residential development near 'Rivalea' feedmill development.

Attached/Completed ✓

2. MAPS (If applicable – 1 electronic and 2 hard copy)

✓

- Location map showing the land affected by the proposed draft plan in the context of the LGA (refer to 'location map').
- Existing zoning map showing the existing zoning of the site and surrounding land and proposed zoning for the site. Refer to 'Current' and 'Proposed' mapping attached.

3. PHOTOS and other visual material (if applicable)

☐

- Aerial photos of land affected by the Planning Proposal
- Photos of land involved and surrounding land uses

4. COMPLETE PLANNING PROPOSAL (1 electronic and 2 hard copy)

✓

- All matters to be addressed in a planning proposal – including Director-General's requirements for the justification of all planning proposals (other than those that solely reclassify public land) in accordance with a 'Guide to preparing a planning proposal' are completed prior to forwarding to the Regional Office in the first instance.

4. **PLANNING PROPOSAL HAS BEEN SUPPORTED BY COUNCIL**



- Council has considered the written planning proposal before it is sent to the Department of Planning.
- Attached is Council's resolution to send the written planning proposal to the Department of Planning.

3 U v -

26/7/13

Signed for and on behalf of the Relevant Planning Authority

DATE: DD/MM/YY

2. COROWA RIVERFRONT MASTER PLAN
LAGOON ACCESS ROAD – USER GROUP CONSULTATION

79/13 RESOLVED on the motion of Councillors Law and Shields that Council commence Stage One and Two as identified in Plan No. 1304-01 B.

Voting

For: Councillors Longmire, Law, Davey, Miegel, Palmer, Shields, Bruinsma, Schirmer and Wales.

Against: Nil.

80/13 RESOLVED on the motion of Councillors Miegel and Law that Council support the full allocation of Riverfront Master Plan funds (\$50,000 subject to confirmation of the Operational Plan in June 2013) for 2013/14 financial year to the car park works as part of Stage Three of this project.

Voting

For: Councillors Longmire, Law, Davey, Miegel, Palmer, Shields, Bruinsma, Schirmer and Wales.

Against: Nil.

3. YARRAWONGA / MULWALA BRIDGE – FUNDING

81/13 RESOLVED on the motion of Councillors Schirmer and Davey that Council write to Moira Shire in relation to the status of the State and Federal Government funding allocations for a new Yarrowonga/Mulwala Bridge.

4. PLANNING PROPOSAL NO. 2
RIVALEA AND LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

82/13 RESOLVED on the motion of Councillors Schirmer and Law that Council resolve it has considered the written planning proposal and directs that the written planning proposal be sent to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure for an Initial Gateway Determination under section 56(1) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the planning proposal to amend the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2012 to rezone:

1. RU1-Primary Production land to enable future potential R5-Large Lot Residential development (about 610ha) with a minimum lot size of 5ha near the "Rivalea" feedmill development;
2. RU1-Primary Production land to IN1- General Industrial (30ha) to allow the expansion of "Rivalea" feedmill development;
3. Insert a "Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area" clause into the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2012; and
4. Amend the Urban Release Area maps to reflect the proposed residential and employment lands.

Voting

For: Councillors Longmire, Law, Davey, Miegel, Palmer, Shields, Bruinsma, Schirmer and Wales.


Against: Nil.

Current


- Zone**
- B1 Neighbourhood Centre
 - B2 Local Centre
 - B6 Enterprise Corridor
 - E1 National Parks and Nature Reserves
 - E3 Environmental Management
 - IN1 General Industrial
 - R1 General Residential
 - R2 Low Density Residential
 - R5 Large Lot Residential
 - RE1 Public Recreation
 - RE2 Private Recreation
 - RU1 Primary Production
 - RU5 Forestry
 - RU6 Village
 - SP1 Special Activities
 - SP2 Infrastructure
 - W1 Natural Waterways
 - W2 Recreational Waterways

Cadastre


Cadastre 12/11/2010 © NSW LPMA



Proposed CEA 1994
LZN_006A_02
Map identification number:
2000_CON_LZN_006A_02_20120505





Current



- Zone**
- B1 Neighbourhood Centre
 - B2 Local Centre
 - B3 Enterprise Corridor
 - E1 National Parks and Nature Reserves
 - E3 Environmental Management
 - IN1 General Industrial
 - R1 General Residential
 - R2 Low Density Residential
 - R5 Large Lot Residential
 - RE1 Public Recreation
 - RE2 Private Recreation
 - RU1 Primary Production
 - RU2 Forestry
 - RU3 Village
 - SP1 Special Activities
 - SP2 Infrastructure
 - W1 Natural Waterways
 - W2 Recreational Waterways

Cadastre

Cadastre 12/11/2010 © NSW LPIWA





Projection: GDA 1984
Scale: 1:50,000 @ A3
Map identification number: 2303_COM_LZN_006_090_20120413

Zone


- [B1] Neighbourhood Centre
- [B2] Local Centre
- [B6] Enterprise Corridor
- [E1] National Parks and Nature Reserves
- [E3] Environmental Management
- [IN1] General Industrial
- [R1] General Residential
- [R2] Low Density Residential
- [R5] Large Lot Residential
- [RE] Public Recreation
- [RE2] Private Recreation
- [RU1] Primary Production
- [RU3] Forestry
- [RU4] Village
- [SP1] Special Activities
- [SP2] Infrastructure
- [W1] Natural Waterways
- [W2] Recreational Waterways

Cadastre

Cadastre 12/11/2010 © NSW LPM



Proposed





Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2011


Lot Size Map - Sheet LSZ_006A

Minimum Lot Size (sq m)

K	550
AE	4000
1ha	1ha
5ha	5ha
100ha	100ha
250ha	250ha
400ha	400ha
Refer to Clause 4.1 (4a)	

Cadastre

Cadastre 12/11/2010 © NSW LPI/M




Scale: 1:20,000 @ A3

Projection: GDA 1994

Map Identification Number:

2000_COM_LSZ_006A_200_20110823

Proposed





Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2011


Land Zoning Map - Sheet LZN_006

Zone

- B1** Neighbourhood Centre
- B2** Local Centre
- B3** Enterprise Corridor
- E1** National Parks and Nature Reserves
- E3** Environmental Management
- IN1** General Industrial
- R1** General Residential
- R2** Low Density Residential
- R5** Large Lot Residential
- RE1** Public Recreation
- RE2** Private Recreation
- RU1** Primary Production
- RU3** Forestry
- RU8** Village
- SP1** Special Activities
- SP2** Infrastructure
- W1** Natural Waterways
- W2** Recreational Waterways

Cadastre

Cadastre 12/1/2010 © NSW LPWA




Scale: 1:60,000 @ A3

Projection: GDA 1994
MGA Zone 56

Map identification number:

2300_COW_LZN_006_080_20110528

Proposed



STATE OF VICTORIA


Lot Size Map - Sheet LSZ_006

Minimum Lot Size (sq m)

K	550
1K	4000
1ha	1ha
5ha	5ha
100ha	100ha
250ha	250ha
400ha	400ha
Area A	Refer to Clause 4.1 (4a)


Cadastral

Cadastral 12/11/2010 © NSW LPM



Projection: GDA 1984
MGA Zone 55

Map identification number:
2300_COWA_LSZ_006_090_20110823




Local Clauses Map - Sheet LCL_006

Local Clauses

Area A Refer to Clause 7.11

Cadastre

Cadastre 12/11/2010 © NSW LPMA




Scale: 1:80,000 @ A3

Projection: GDA 1984
Map Zone 55

Map identification number:

2009_COM_LCL_006_080_20110821





Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2011


Urban Release Areas Map - Sheet URA_006

Legend

 Urban Release Area

Cadastral

Cadastral 12/11/2010 © NSW LPM



Projection: GDA 1994
MGA Zone 55

Scale: 1:50,000 @ A3



Map identification number:

2300_COIL_URA_006_000_20110301

Proposed



STATE OF VICTORIA



Proposed

STATE OF VICTORIA

COROWA

Attachment B

Consistency with SEPP's

The following relevant environmental planning instruments (EPI) have been considered in the preparation of this planning proposal:

EPI	Applicable	Relevant	Consistent	Comments
Murray Regional Environmental Plan No 2—Riverine Land	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP is generally consistent with the provisions of the MREP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 1—Development Standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	This SEPP may apply to development of the land to which the PP applies and does not affect the operation of the SEPP
State Environmental Planning Policy No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development	Yes	Yes	Yes	This SEPP may apply to development of the land to which the PP applies and does not affect the operation of the SEPP
State Environmental Planning Policy No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building	Yes	Yes	Yes	This SEPP may apply to development of the land to which the PP applies and does not affect the operation of the SEPP
State Environmental Planning Policy No 21—Caravan Parks	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP is consistent with provisions of SEPP in that development for the purpose of a caravan park requires the consent of Council and is permissible development in zone R1.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 22—Shops and Commercial Premises	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP is consistent with these provisions, noting that these uses are controlled predominately through SEPP Exempt and Complying Development 2008.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 30—Intensive Agriculture	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP will not affect the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 32—Urban	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP will not affect the application of this SEPP.

Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land).				
State Environmental Planning Policy No 33— Hazardous and Offensive Development	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP will not affect the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 36— Manufactured Home Estates	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP will not affect the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 44—Koala Habitat Protection	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP will not affect the application of this SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 50—Canal Estate Development	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP will not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 55— Remediation of Land	Yes	Yes	Yes	Council has assessed the sites in accordance with the requirements of the SEPP and there are no potentially contaminated land. The land is currently used for a mixture of living and agricultural purposes. Further consideration of this SEPP will be undertaken with the DA.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 62— Sustainable Aquaculture	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 64— Advertising and Signage	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.

Housing) 2009				
State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004.	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Major Development) 2005	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Rural Lands) 2008	Yes	Yes	No	The PP proposes to rezone rural land to part residential and part industrial purposes. The proposal is to rezone rural land for large lot residential (610ha with a minimum lot size of 5 ha) and general industrial (about 30ha). The land is currently being used for a mixture of living and agriculture and is allocated adjacent to urban land. Having regard to the rural planning principles the rezoning of the subject land has the potential to affect the promotion and protection of opportunities for current and potential productive and sustainable economic activities or importance of rural land in the Corowa LGA particularly for the

				land to the north that is used for productive agriculture. However this land has been identified in the approved Corowa Land Use Strategy for rural living. However the approval by the Director General specifically required that additional work be undertaken to address potential land use conflicts between 'Rivalea' and land used for living. The potential conflict will be minimised by the proposed 'buffer' considerations and further community consultation.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	The PP does not affect the application of the SEPP.

Attachment C

Consistency with Section 117 Directions

The following relevant s117 Directions have been considered in the preparation of this planning proposal:

No.	S117 Direction	Objective	Consistency	Comments
1	Employment and Resources			
1.2	Rural Zones	The objective of this direction is to protect the agricultural production value of rural land.	No – but of minor inconsistency	A PP may be inconsistent where the inconsistency is of minor significance. While the land is identified in the Strategy documentation (but subject to further work in relation to potential land use conflict) the inconsistency is considered to be justified for the following reasons: - the total area of land (abt. 310ha) that is proposed to be rezoned from primary production to large lot residential is minor in the broad context of primary production land in Corowa LGA. - the land adjoins urban land and is used for rural living and agriculture. - primary production land is protected through appropriate zoning and retention of suitable lot sizes in the lga - Council will consult with Agriculture during community consultation to determine if significant agricultural resources are affected - The land identified as industrial is currently used by Rivalea for stormwater runoff retention and disposal. - This will be further assessed at s59 stage
1.3	Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	The objective of this direction is to ensure that the future extraction of State or regionally significant reserves of coal, other minerals, petroleum and extractive materials are not compromised by inappropriate	No	The land proposed to be rezoned from primary production to large lot large lot residential will prohibit mining where it is incompatible. - Council will consult with Minerals during

		development.		community consultation to determine if significant mineral resources are affected. This will be further assessed at s59 stage.
1.5	Rural Lands	The objectives of this direction are to protect the agricultural production value of rural land and facilitate the orderly and economic development of rural lands for rural and related purposes.	No	An inconsistency exists with respect to the rezoning of Primary production land for large lot residential purposes. The land is located adjacent to urban uses. Council will consult with Agriculture during community consultation to determine if significant agricultural resources are affected This will be further assessed at s59 stage
2	Environment and Heritage			
2.1	Environment Protection Zones	The objective of this direction is to protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas	No	The PP is consistent with this direction as no identified environmentally sensitive land is being affected.
2.3	Heritage Conservation	The objective of this direction is to conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance	Yes	The PP does not affect the standard provisions for the protection of heritage and archaeological sites.
2.4	Recreation Vehicle Areas	To protect sensitive land or land with significant conservation values from adverse impacts from recreation vehicles	Yes	No recreational vehicle areas are proposed in the LGA.
3	Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development			
3.1	Residential Zones	The objectives of this direction are: to encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs, to make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and ensure that new housing has appropriate access to infrastructure and services, and to minimise the impact of residential development on the environment and resource lands.	Yes	The proposed rezoning of the subject land to residential is consistent with the objectives of this direction.
3.2	Caravan Parks	The objectives of this direction	Yes	The Corowa LEP 2012

	and Manufactured Home Estates	are to provide for a variety of housing types and to provide opportunities for caravan parks and manufactured home estates.		allows the development of caravan parks and MHEs within the zone R5.
3.3	Home Occupations	The objective of this direction is to encourage the carrying out of low-impact small businesses in dwelling houses.	Yes	The PP is consistent with this direction
3.4	Integrating Land Use and Transport	The objective of this direction is to ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives: improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and providing for the efficient movement of freight	Yes	The PP is consistent with objectives of this Direction in that the subject land is in close proximity to existing urban Development.
4	Hazard and Risk			
4.3	Flood Prone Land	The objectives of this direction are: to ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the <i>Floodplain Development Manual 2005</i>, and to ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land.	Yes	The PP is consistent with Council's Floodplain Risk Management Plan and the standard provisions contained in the Corowa LEP 2012. None of the subject land at is identified as being affected by the flood planning area.
4.4	Planning for Bushfire Protection	The objectives of this direction are to protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, by discouraging the establishment of incompatible land uses in bush fire prone areas, and to encourage sound	Yes	None of the land is identified to be bushfire prone

		management of bush fire prone areas		
5	Regional Planning			
5.1	Implementation of Regional Strategies	The objective of this direction is to give legal effect to the vision, land use strategy, policies, outcomes and actions contained in regional strategies	Yes	No identified regional strategies apply. However the PP is consistent with the draft Murray Regional Strategy.
6	Local Plan Making			
6.1	Approval and Referral Requirements	The objective of this direction is to ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development	Yes	There are no approval or referral requirements proposed in the draft LEP.
6.2	Reserving Land for Public Purposes	The objectives of this direction are to facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes, and to facilitate the removal of reservations of land for public purposes where the land is no longer required for acquisition	Yes	The PP does not affect land reserved for public purposes.
6.3	Site Specific Provisions	The objective of this direction is to discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls.	Yes	The PP does not proposed site planning controls.

4 August 2011

Mr Bruce Corcoran
General Manger
Corowa Shire Council
233 Honour Avenue
PO Box 77
NSW 2646

PLANNING

Dear Mr Bruce Corcoran

**NOTICE OF AN OBJECTION TO THE REVISION OF LAND ZONING MAP – SHEET
LZN_006A, REGARDING ZONE R5.**

In respect to the above Land Zoning Map, Rivalea strongly objects to the proposed rezoning of Primary Production (RU1) land into Large lot residential (R5) on land located directly north and west of the Corowa Feedmill.

This rezoning will limit Rivalea's ability to expand and will have the potential to allow residents to be in close proximity to a 24hr seven day/week feedmill which is a large emitter of noise, odour and potentially dust.

The feedmill is a major employer in the Corowa district and a key partner for the areas farming community and has been established since 1977. Judicious land use planning can often prevent any amenity issues from occurring. Separation distances not only protect the industry, they also protect residents from undue amenity issues such as noise and odour.

In 2005 Rivalea experienced a noise issue associated with the Corowa feedmill. The issue was created in part due to poor planning regulations and practices. The location of one resident approximately 180 metres from the feedmill in what is primarily an industrial zone caused difficulties for both Rivalea and the affected resident. The resolution of this issue was time consuming and expensive with tens of thousands of dollar spent trying to minimise the noise from the feedmill. It was eventually resolved with the purchase of the block and the removal of the house.

Rivalea understands the need for industrial activity to be balanced with other community activities including residential zoning. However there is a need for reasonable separation distances from activities considered either offensive or intrusive, and local planning policies can go a long way to prevent amenity issues from developing in the future.

Rivalea provided comments to Habitat Planning in November 2007 in respect to the review of the LEP (letter attached). This letter outlined our concerns in respect to maintaining appropriate buffers around the Feedmill. However, it appears that these comments were not taken into consideration.

Rivalea

A U S T R A L I A

Within Rivalea's boundary is an area of land zoned RU1. This land is used to dispose of contaminated storm water (organic material) from the Feedmill in a purpose built tree plantation. This land is designated for future development and expansion of the Feedmill business. Rivalea request that this land to the west of the railway corridor line be rezoned as Industrial to help facilitate this future expansion.


NSW does not have any appropriate separation Guidelines; however, the Victorian EPA has "*Recommended Buffer Zones for Industrial Residual Air Emissions*" Publication, AQ 2/86. These guidelines are used to provide assistance to planners to determine appropriate buffer distances. Although Feedmills are not specifically listed, the Guidelines refer to "Grain Elevators" requiring a buffer of 300 metres.

Enclosed are two maps showing a proposed 300 metre buffer distance from the Feedmill boundary. The land within these buffer distances should be zoned such that residential development cannot occur.

In light of the previous noise issues in 2005 where the effected resident was approximately 180 metres from the Feedmill, Rivalea believe this buffer distance is necessary to avoid land use conflict in the future. Further to this, the Feedmill is currently a major employer in Corowa and poor land use decisions should not put this in jeopardy.

Should you have any queries relating to the above please contact me on 0409808146 or 02 6033 8249.

Yours sincerely,



Mark Hogan
General Manager
Safety Environment Quality

Letter 1- Comments to Habitat Planning in November 2007 in respect to the review of the LEP.



QAF Group

16 November 2007

Mr Warwick Horsfall
445 Townsend St
Albury NSW 2640

Dear Mr Horsfall

Thank you for your letter informing QAF of the Corowa Shire Council Local Environmental Plan (LEP) review.

The LEP review needs to include the protection of separation distances for QAF's Corowa Piggery and Feedmill. The piggery and the feedmill are major employees in the Corowa district and have been established in the community since 1975 and 1977 respectively. Judicious land use planning can often prevent any amenity issues from occurring. Protecting separation distances not only protects the industry, it also protects potential residents from undue amenity issues such as noise and odour.

QAF in 2005 experienced a noise issue associated with its Corowa feedmill. The issue was created in part due to poor planning regulations and practices. The location of one resident approximately 150 metres from the feedmill in what is primarily an industrial zone caused difficulties for both QAF and the affected resident. The resolution of this issue was time consuming and expensive.

Australian Pork Limited (2004) National Environmental Guidelines for Piggeries require set separation distances between piggeries and designated receptors (e.g. rural dwellings). In the case of QAF these distances would be considerable. These separation distances need to be incorporated into the LEP to ensure no amenity issues arise from future developments. The APL (2004) separation distances are required to be met by proposed piggeries.


QAF understands the need for industrial activity to be balanced with other community activities including residential zoning. However there is a need for reasonable separation distances from activities considered either offensive or intrusive, and local planning policies can go a long way to prevent amenity issues from developing in the future.

Should you require any further information please call me on 0407 572 653 or 02 6033 8216.

Yours sincerely

Amber Rodd
Manager Environment and Resources

Map 1- Rivalea Feedmill boundary with EPA buffer zone of 300m.







10.	Rivalea Australia	Objection to proposed R5 Large Lot Residential zone in close proximity to Corowa Feedmill The Rivalea feedmill is a major employer in the Corowa district and a key partner for the area's farming community and has been established since 1977. In 2005 Rivalea was involved in a land use conflict with a resident approximately 180 metres from the feedmill. The resolution of this issue was time consuming and expensive requiring the purchase and removal of the residence. Rivalea understands the need for industrial activity to be balanced with other community activities including residential zoning. However there is a need for reasonable separation distances from activities considered either offensive or intrusive and local planning policies can prevent amenity issues from developing in the future. Rivalea request that the land to the west of the railway corridor be rezoned Industrial to help facilitate the future expansion of the business and recommend the imposition of a 300 metre buffer around the operation in line with the Victorian EPA's 'Recommended Buffer Zones for Industrial Residual Air Emissions'.	Council staff together with consultants GHD have reviewed the buffer requirements for the existing Rivalea feedmill and support the inclusion of a buffer zone around the existing facility and that land immediately to the west of the existing facility to afford the feedmill protection from future land use conflicts. It is proposed to incorporate a new local provision as follows: 7.11 Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area (4) The objective of this clause is to protect the operational environment of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility and prevent inappropriate development in close proximity to the Rivalea Feedmill Facility to avoid land use conflicts. (5) This clause applies to development on land within the "Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area" shown on the Clause Application Map. (6) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority has considered the following: (e) The likely adverse impacts that noise and other emissions from the existing and continued operations of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility on the proposed development, (f) The likely adverse impact of the development on the existing and continued operations of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility, (g) Any proposed measures incorporated into the proposed development that limit the impacts of noise and other emissions associated with the Rivalea Feedmill Facility, (h) Whether the development is sited to maximise the distance between the development and the Rivalea Feedmill Facility to minimise the potential land use conflict.	Revise the draft LEP by rezoning additional Rivalea land IN1 General Industrial and by inserting a new clause 7.11 and associated new Clause Application Map which illustrates a 500m buffer around the revised IN1 General Industrial zone.
-----	-------------------	--	--	---



Table 3-1 Summary of Submissions to Corowa Draft LEP

Respondent	Issue	Description	Planning Comment	Action
Government Agency Submissions				
1	Roads and Traffic Authority	Draft LEP does not appear to represent any significant changes to the draft LEP previously discussed and forwarded to the RTA in December 2010. Noted that plans do identify Classified State Roads as SP2 as requested. As draft LEP does not appear to present any significant changes the RTA does not have any further comments.	The draft LEP does not contain any significant changes to that version previously discussed and presented at the Planning Focus Meeting in December 2010.	No action necessary.
2.	Office of Environment and Heritage	OEI supportive of draft LEP. Comments provided in relation to: - National Parks and Reserves - New urban release areas - Additional local provisions - Flooding - Other rezonings.	The Land Zoning Maps will be updated to reflect the new reserves created under the National Parks Estate Riverina Red Gum Reservation Act) 2010. <i>National Parks to be shown as E1 National parks and Nature Reserves</i> While some of the new reserves in the Corowa LGA created under the National Parks Estate (Riverina Red Gum Reservation Act) 2010 have been identified on the zone map two have not been included. These are the section of the Murray Valley National Park near Corowa and Murray Valley National Regional Park west of Mulwala on the Berrigan local government boundary.	Update Land Zoning Maps to include all new reserves created under the National Parks Estate Riverina Red Gum Reservation Act) 2010.



Urban Release Areas

Land proposed to be zoned R5 on corner of Riverina Hwy and Albury Road.

Buffer zone recommended to avoid land use conflict between existing feedmill and proposed large lot residential uses. Buffer should not be zoned R5 Large Lot Residential.

TSR contains Inland Grey Box tall grassy woodland on alluvial loam and clay soils in NSW South Western Slopes and Riverina Bioregions and should not be zoned R5 Large Lot Residential.

Council staff together with consultants GHD have reviewed the buffer requirements for the existing Rivalea feedmill and support the inclusion of a buffer zone around the existing facility. It is proposed to incorporate a new local provision as follows:

7.11 Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area

- (1) The objective of this clause is to protect the operational environment of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility and prevent inappropriate development in close proximity to the Rivalea Feedmill Facility to avoid land use conflicts.
- (2) This clause applies to development on land within the "Rivalea Feedmill Facility Buffer Area" shown on the Clause Application Map.
- (3) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority has considered the following:
 - (a) The likely adverse impacts that noise and other emissions from the existing and continued operations of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility on the proposed development,
 - (b) The likely adverse impact of the development on the existing and continued operations of the Rivalea Feedmill Facility,
 - (c) Any proposed measures incorporated into the proposed development that limit the impacts of noise and other emissions associated with the Rivalea Feedmill Facility,
 - (d) Whether the development is sited to maximise the distance between the development and the Rivalea Feedmill Facility to minimise the potential land use conflict.
- (4) In this clause:

Clause Application Map means the Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2011 Clause Application Map.

Revise the draft LEP by rezoning additional Rivalea land IN1 General Industrial and by inserting a new clause 7.11 and associated new Clause Application Map which illustrates a 500m buffer around the revised IN1 General Industrial zone.



Corowa Shire

draft Corowa Local Environmental Plan 2011

ASSESSMENT OF SITES PROPOSED FOR REZONING




**Town Planning &
Environmental Assessment**

Suite 1 / 622 Macauley Street
Albury NSW 2640

T 02 6021 0662 F 02 6021 0663
e habitat@habitatplanning.com.au
w www.habitatplanning.com.au

APRIL 2011



COROWA SITE 1

Description: Corner of Riverina Highway and Albury Road, Corowa

Area: 639ha

Proposed change in land use: General rural to small holdings

Suggested change in zoning: from 1(a) General Rural to RU4 Large Lot Residential

Current use: Agriculture

Change instigated by: Council

Comment: This land was nominated in the SLUP to cater for rural living market in Corowa.

Potential inconsistency with Ministerial Directions: 1.2 Rural Zones; 1.5 Rural Lands



Environmental assessment:

<i>Environmental matters</i>	<i>Basis of assessment</i>	<i>Potential impacts</i>
Flora	Aerial photograph and NPWS Wildlife Atlas	No threatened flora species recorded on site since 1980. Little vegetation remains on site, though riparian vegetation should be retained.
Fauna	NPWS Wildlife Atlas	No threatened fauna species recorded on site since 1980.
Flooding	Floodplain Risk Management Study: Corowa Shire Towns (draft 2009)	Site is not prone to flooding from the Murray River.
Bushfire	Council Bushfire Prone Land Map	Land is not mapped as bushfire prone.
Heritage	NSW Heritage Council & LEP	No heritage items recorded.
Archaeology	AHIMS database	No items of Aboriginal significance registered.
Land capability	DECC mapping	Part Class I - Land suitable for a wide variety of uses. Where soils are fertile, this is land with the highest potential for agriculture, and may be cultivated for vegetation and fruit production, cereal and other grain crops, energy crops, fodder and forage crops, and sugar cane in specific areas. Includes "prime agricultural land". Part Class II Usually gently sloping land suitable for a wide variety of agricultural uses. Has a high potential for production of crops on fertile soils similar to Class I, but increasing limitations to production due to site conditions. Includes "prime agricultural land".
Infrastructure	Council officers	Small additional demand on Corowa water supply.
Social	Anticipated	Increase choice in living environments not previously catered for around Corowa.
Economic	Anticipated	Potential increase in local population bringing more support to local businesses and potential for new business.